

1 PAUL V. LANKFORD, CA BAR NO. 181506
2 LYNN R. FIORENTINO, CA BAR NO. 226691
3 THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP
4 101 Second Street, Suite 1800
5 San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 371-1200
Facsimile: (415) 371-1211
plankford@thelenreid.com
lrfiorentino@thelenreid.com

6 Attorneys for Defendant
DORMAN LONG TECHNOLOGY, LIMITED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 ROBERT CLICK and MARIE CLICK,
12 Plaintiffs,
13 vs.
14 DORMAN LONG TECHNOLOGY,
15 LIMITED et al.,
16 Defendants.

USDC Case No: C 06 1936 PJH

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER CONTINUING CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND
RELATED DATES INCLUDING
INITIAL DISCLOSURES**

18 This stipulation is made by and between plaintiffs Robert Click and Marie Click
19 ("plaintiffs") and defendants Dorman Long Technology Limited ("DLT"), Michael Charles Wade,
20 David John Rolton, Robert Dodd and David John Dryer (collectively "defendants"), by and
21 through their respective attorneys of record, The Arns Law Firm and Thelen Reid & Priest, LLP.

RECITALS

73 | The stipulation is based on the following facts:

24 1. A Case Management Conference is currently scheduled in this case for August 24,
25 2006 at 2:30 p.m.

26 2. Defendants have a pending motion to dismiss and/or strike plaintiffs' complaint,
27 which is scheduled to be heard before this Court on September 6, 2006 at 9:00 a.m.

1 3. The parties agree that the Case Management Conference is continued to September
2 28, 2006 at 2:30 p.m..

3 4. The parties further agree that the last date to complete initial disclosures,
4 file a joint case management conference statement, and file/serve a joint Rule 26(f)
5 discovery/disclosure report is September 21, 2006.

6 5. The parties further agree that the last date to meet and confer regarding initial
7 disclosures, early settlement, ADR selection process and discovery plan, and to file Joint ADR
8 Certification with Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference is
9 September 7, 2006.

10 6. The parties agree that by entering into this stipulation the defendants do not waive
11 any of the challenges to jurisdiction or service or process raised in their motion to dismiss.

12 Dated: August 4, 2006

13 THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP

14 By Lynn R. Fiorentino
15 Paul V. Lankford
16 Lynn R. Fiorentino
17 Attorneys for Defendant
18 DORMAN LONG TECHNOLOGY LIMITED

19 Dated: July 4, 2006


20 THE ARNS LAW FIRM

21 By Morgan C. Smith
22 Morgan C. Smith
23 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
24 ROBERT CLICK and MARIE CLICK

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 PURSUANT TO THE ABOVE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

3
4 Dated: 8/8/06



5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28